

Orlando Health Institute for Learning

Speaker Packet for Continuing Education

Title of Educational Activity: _____ Date of Activity: _____

Title of Presentation: _____

Completed Speaker Packet & CV Submission **Deadline:** _____

Rough Draft Presentation **Deadline:** _____

Statement of Understanding

Completion of the line below serves as the electronic signature of the individual completing this Biographical/Conflict of Interest Form and attests to the accuracy of the information given below.

Completed By (Name and Credentials): _____ Date: _____

Biographic Data

Name: _____

Credentials: ☐ MD ☐ DO ☐ PhD ☐ DNP ☐ PA ☐ MSN ☐ APRN ☐ BSN ☐ PharmD
☐ Other _____

Phone Number: _____ Email Address: _____

☐ A current CV will accompany this form to demonstrate my qualifications to participate as a planner/speaker in this activity.

Role in Educational Activity

Select your role in educational activity listed above (check all that apply):

- ☐ Activity Director *
- ☐ Planning Committee
- ☐ Faculty/Presenter/Author
- ☐ Expert/Reviewer
- ☐ Other _____

*The Activity Director is responsible for ensuring adherence to ACCME accreditation criteria for *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™*.

OBJECTIVES Click on link below for guidelines for writing learning objectives https://tinyurl.com/y6xmc7mk (Based on Learning Outcomes)	CONTENT (Topics)	TIME FRAME (if live)
What the learner will know or be able to do as a result of engaging in the learning activity	Provide an outline of the content (may be in bullet format)	Approximate time required for content
List the evidence-based references used for developing this educational activity: 		

Financial Relationships and Conflicts of Interest

Individuals need to disclose relationships with a commercial interest* if both (a) the relationship is financial and occurred within the past 12 months and (b) the individual has the opportunity to affect the content of educational activities about the products or services of that commercial interest (including, but not limited to, planning committee members, presenters, authors, content reviewers and their spouse/partners).

An individual who refuses to disclose relevant financial relationships will be disqualified and cannot have control of the development, management, presentation or evaluation of the activity.

Financial relationships create actual conflicts of interest in educational activities when individuals have both a financial relationship with a commercial interest and the opportunity to affect the content of the activity about the products or services of that commercial interest.

The CPD Representative/Nurse Planner/Activity Director are responsible for identifying and resolving all conflicts of interest during the planning phase of the activity. If the CPD Representative/Nurse Planner/Activity Director has a potential conflict of interest, he/she can recuse themselves from their role and another individual with no conflict of interest may be chosen instead.

*Reference: Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education Standards for Commercial Support: Standards to Ensure the Independence of CME Activities, 2014 (www.accme.org).

Disclosure Form

I (spouse/partner) have had a financial relationship within the past 12 months with a commercial interest that produces, markets, resells, or distributes health care goods or services consumed by, or used on, patients, and those goods or services will be discussed in this activity.

☐ **YES** (If yes, please complete table below.) ☐ **NO**

Name of Commercial Interest	Nature of Relationship	Party with Relationship
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner
	<input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Speaker's Bureau <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Royalty <input type="checkbox"/> Contracted Research <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Self <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse/Partner

-STOP-

This section to be completed by Orlando Health Institute for Learning CPD Representative only

Resolution of Conflict of Interest

☐ No conflict of interest exists

The conflicts of interest have been resolved in the following way(s) (check all that apply):

☐ Peer review of slides

☐ Change their financial relationship

☐ The role or function of the person with the conflict of interest was altered

☐ The focus of the presentation was changed

☐ Another Activity Director/Speaker was chosen in the stead of the individual with the perceived conflict of interest

☐ Contact hours for a portion of the educational activity was not awarded

☐ Other: _____

Osteoporosis management for degenerative spinal pathologies

REFERENCES

1. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, et al. Clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int*. 2014;25(10):2359-81.
2. Salari N, Ghasemi H, Mohammadi L, et al. The global prevalence of osteoporosis in the world: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2021;16(1):609.
3. Chen P, Li Z, Hu Y. Prevalence of osteoporosis in China: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1039.
4. Wade SW, Strader C, Fitzpatrick LA, et al. Estimating prevalence of osteoporosis: examples from industrialized countries. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2014;9:182.
5. Melton JL, 3rd. Epidemiology of spinal osteoporosis. *Spine*. 1997;22(24 Suppl):2S-11S.
6. Melton LJ, 3rd, Chrischilles EA, Cooper C, et al. Perspective. How many women have osteoporosis? *J Bone Miner Res*. 1992;7(9):1005-10.
7. Cooper C, Atkinson EJ, Kotowicz M, et al. Secular trends in the incidence of postmenopausal vertebral fractures. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 1992;51(2):100-4.
8. Reginster JY, Burlet N. Osteoporosis: a still increasing prevalence. *Bone*. 2006;38(2 Suppl 1):S4-9.
9. Schousboe JT. Epidemiology of vertebral fractures. *J Clin Densitom*. 2016;19(1):8-22.
10. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World population ageing 2019: highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/430). New York, NY, USA: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs; 2019.
11. Sambrook P, Cooper C. Osteoporosis. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9527):2010-8.
12. Mithal A, Bansal B, Kyer CS, et al. The Asia-Pacific regional audit-epidemiology, costs, and burden of osteoporosis in India 2013: a report of international osteoporosis foundation. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;18(4):449-54.

13. Horikawa K, Kasai Y, Yamakawa T, et al. Prevalence of osteoarthritis, osteoporotic vertebral fractures, and spondylolisthesis among the elderly in a Japanese village. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2006;14(1):9-12.
14. Patil S, Rawall S, Singh D, et al. Surgical patterns in osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *Eur Spine J*. 2013;22(4):883-91.
15. Cho JH, Hwang CJ, Kim H, et al. Effect of osteoporosis on the clinical and radiological outcomes following one-level posterior lumbar interbody fusion. *J Orthop Sci*. 2018;23(6):870-7.
16. Roberts S, Formanek B, Buser Z, et al. The impact of bisphosphonates on postoperative complication rates in osteoporotic patients undergoing posterior lumbar fusion. *Eur Spine J*. 2021;30(5):1329-36.
17. Rodriguez-Fontan F, Reeves BJ, Noshchenko A, et al. Strap stabilization for proximal junctional kyphosis prevention in instrumented posterior spinal fusion. *Eur Spine J*. 2020;29(6):1287-96.
18. Halvorson TL, Kelley LA, Thomas KA, et al. Effects of bone mineral density on pedicle screw fixation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1994;19(21):2415-20.
19. Paxinos O, Tsitsopoulos PP, Zindrick MR, et al. Evaluation of pullout strength and failure mechanism of posterior instrumentation in normal and osteopenic thoracic vertebrae. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2010;13(4):469-76.

20. Zindrick MR, Wiltse LL, Widell EH, et al. A biomechanical study of intrapeduncular screw fixation in the lumbosacral spine. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1986;203(203):99-112.
21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2021 Mar 29;10(1):89.
22. Newhouse R, Dearholt S, Poe S, et al. Evidence-based practice: a practical approach to implementation. *J Nurs Adm*. 2005;35(1):35-40.
23. Shimizu T, Lenke LG, Cerpa M, et al. Preoperative halo-gravity traction for treatment of severe adult kyphosis and scoliosis. *Spine Deform*. 2020;8(1):85-95.
24. Sharma M, John K, Dietz N, et al. Factors impacting outcomes and health care utilization in osteoporotic patients undergoing lumbar spine fusions: a MarketScan database analysis. *World Neurosurg*. 2020;141:e976-88.
25. Varshneya K, Jokhai RT, Fatemi P, et al. Predictors of 2-year reoperation in Medicare patients undergoing primary thoracolumbar deformity surgery. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2020;33(5):572-6.
26. Park SJ, Lee CS, Park JS, et al. Should thoracolumbar junction be always avoided as upper instrumented vertebra in long instrumented fusion for adult spinal deformity?: risk factor analysis for proximal junctional failure. *Spine*. 2020;45(10):686-93.
27. Formby PM, Kang DG, Helgeson MD, et al. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion in patients with osteoporosis. *Glob Spine J*. 2016;6(7):660-4.

28. Löffler MT, Sollmann N, Burian E, et al. Opportunistic osteoporosis screening reveals low bone density in patients with screw loosening after lumbar semi-rigid instrumentation: a case-control study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2020;11:552719.

16

Spine Surgery and Related Research J-STAGE Advance Publication (January 12, 2023)
© The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

29. Sumiya S, Fukushima K, Kurosa Y, et al. Comparative analysis of clinical factors associated with pedicle screw pull-out during or immediately after surgery between intraoperative cone-beam computed tomography and postoperative computed tomography. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2021;22(1):55.
30. Park MK, Kim KT, Bang WS, et al. Risk factors for cage migration and cage retropulsion following transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine J*. 2019;19(3):437-47.
31. St Jeor JD, Jackson TJ, Xiong AE, et al. Average lumbar Hounsfield units predicts osteoporosis-related complications following lumbar spine fusion. *Glob Spine J*. 2020;12(5):851-7.
32. Puvanesarajah V, Shen FH, Cancienne JM, et al. Risk factors for revision surgery following primary adult spinal deformity surgery in patients 65 years and older. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016;25(4):486-93.
33. Rentenberger C, Okano I, Salzmann SN, et al. Perioperative risk factors for early revisions in stand-alone lateral lumbar interbody fusion. *World Neurosurg*. 2020;134:e657-63.
34. Uei H, Tokuhashi Y, Maseda M, et al. Exploratory analysis of predictors of revision surgery for proximal junctional kyphosis or additional postoperative vertebral fracture following adult spinal deformity surgery in elderly patients: a retrospective cohort study. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2018;13(1):252.
35. St Jeor JD, Jackson TJ, Xiong AE, et al. Osteoporosis in spine surgery patients: what is the best way to diagnose osteoporosis in this population? *Neurosurg Focus*. 2020;49(2):E4.

17

Spine Surgery and Related Research J-STAGE Advance Publication (January 12, 2023)
© The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

36. Khalid SI, Nunna RS, Maasarani S, et al. Association of osteopenia and osteoporosis with higher rates of pseudarthrosis and revision surgery in adult patients undergoing single-level lumbar fusion. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2020;49(2):E6.
37. Bjerke BT, Zarrabian M, Aleem IS, et al. Incidence of osteoporosis-related complications following posterior lumbar fusion. *Glob Spine J*. 2018;8(6):563-9.
38. Paz RD, Henriquez MS, Melian KA, et al. Prevalence of poor bone quality in patients undergoing spine surgery: a comprehensive approach. *Glob Spine J*. 2021;12(7):1412-19.
39. Kim HJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, et al. Proximal junctional kyphosis results in inferior SRS pain subscores in adult deformity patients. *Spine*. 2013;38(11):896-901.

40. Kim HJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, et al. Patients with proximal junctional kyphosis requiring revision surgery have higher postoperative lumbar lordosis and larger sagittal balance corrections. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(9):E576-80.
41. Hart R, McCarthy I, O'brien M, et al. Identification of decision criteria for revision surgery among patients with proximal junctional failure after surgical treatment of spinal deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38(19):E1223-7.
42. Nguyen NL, Kong CY, Hart RA. Proximal junctional kyphosis and failure-diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. 2016;9(3):299-308.
43. Hart RA, McCarthy I, Ames CP, et al. Proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 2013;24(2):213-8.
44. Galbusera F, Volkheimer D, Reitmaier S, et al. Pedicle screw loosening: a clinically relevant complication? *Eur Spine J*. 2015;24(5):1005-16.

45. Röllinghoff M, Schlüter-Brust K, Groos D, et al. Midrange outcomes in 64 consecutive cases of multilevel fusion for degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine. *Orthop Rev (Pavia)*. 2010;2(1):e3.
46. Lee CK, Choi SK, An SB, et al. Influence of osteoporosis following spine surgery on reoperation, readmission, and economic costs: an 8-year nationwide population-based study in Korea. *World Neurosurg*. 2021;149:e360-8.
47. Yoo JE, Park HS. Prevalence and associated risk factors for osteoporosis in Korean men. *Arch Osteoporos*. 2018;13(1):88.
48. O'Neill TW, Felsenberg D, Varlow J, et al. The prevalence of vertebral deformity in European men and women: the European Vertebral Osteoporosis Study. *J Bone Miner Res*. 1996;11(7):1010-8.
49. Gullberg B, Johnell O, Kanis JA. World-wide projections for hip fracture. *Osteoporos Int*. 1997;7(5):407-13.
50. Cooper C, Campion G, Melton LJ, 3rd. Hip fractures in the elderly: a world-wide projection. *Osteoporos Int*. 1992;2(6):285-9.